arXiv:0710.5708v1 [math.AP] 30 Oct 2007

ARMA manuscript No.
(will be inserted by the editor)

Uniqueness of the particle trajectories of the weak solutions of the two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations[⋆]

Masoumeh Dashti, James C. Robinson

Abstract

We address the problem of the uniqueness of the particle trajectories corresponding to a weak solution of the two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations with square integrable initial condition and regular enough forcing function. In order to do this we obtain an estimate for $H^{3/2}$-norm of the weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations and show that $t\|D^{3/2}u\|$ is bounded which provides a bound on the time derivative of the corresponding trajectories near the initial time. This, combined with an appropriate log-Lipschitz bound on the velocity field, gives the uniqueness. We also show that the same approach can be used to prove the uniqueness of the trajectories corresponding to the local strong solutions of the three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations when the $H^{1/2}$-norm of the initial condition is finite.

1. Introduction

We consider the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t u - \nu\Delta u + (u.\nabla)u + \nabla p = f, \\ &\nabla \cdot u = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

with $u(x,0) = u_0(x)$ and in a periodic domain $\Omega = [0,L]^d$, $d = 2,3$, where u is the velocity field, ν the kinematic viscosity (a given constant), p the pressure scalar field and f the external forcing function. For a two-dimensional domain, it is known that for a given divergence-free square integrable initial condition u_0 and sufficiently regular forcing function f a unique global weak solution u exists (Leray 1934, Ladyzhenskaya 1969). The main purpose of

---

[⋆] Submitted to: *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis* this paper is to show the uniqueness of the fluid particle trajectories corresponding to such a weak solution of the two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations.

For a periodic domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, we let $\mathscr{H}$ be the space of periodic, divergence-free and smooth vector-valued functions on Ω with zero average, and define

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \text{closure of } \mathscr{H} \text{ in } [L^2(\Omega)]^d, \\ V &= \text{closure of } \mathscr{H} \text{ in } [H^1(\Omega)]^d. \end{aligned}$$

We denote the L^2-norm on H by $\|\cdot\|$, and the norm on any other normed space X by $\|\cdot\|_X$.

The Navier-Stokes equations can be written in the following functional evolution form (Constantin and Foias 1988, Robinson 2001, Temam 2001)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}t} + \nu A u + B(u,u) = f, \quad \text{with} \quad u(0) = u_0 \tag{2}$$

where $A = -\Pi\Delta$ is the Stokes operator, and $B(u,u) = \Pi(u.\nabla)u$ with Π the orthogonal projection from L^2 onto H.

If a solution u of the equations (2) is given, then the trajectory of a particle of fluid, initially at $a \in \Omega$, is the solution of the following set of ordinary differential equations:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}X(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = u(X(t),t), \qquad \text{with} \quad X(0) = a. \tag{3}$$

For a two-dimensional domain, when $u_0 \in H$ and $f \in L^2(0,T;H^{-1}(\Omega))$, equations (1) have a unique weak solution $u \in L^\infty(0,T;H) \cap L^2(0,T;V)$ (Leray 1934, Temam 2001). For such a weak solution u, it follows from the Sobolev embedding theorem and Lemma 2 of Section 3 that

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq c\,\|u\|_{H^{1+\alpha}(\Omega)} \in L^1(0,T)$$

for some $\alpha > 0$. Therefore there exits a continuous solution, $X(t)$, of the differential system (3) for $t \in [0,\beta]$ with $0 < \beta \leq T$ (Coddington and Levinson 1955). For the periodic domain Ω, it is obvious that $X(t) \in \Omega$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and therefore $\beta = T$.

It is known (Diperna and Lions 1989, Bahouri and Chemin 1994, Desjardin 1997) that if one fixes $X(s)$ at some positive time s, the particle trajectory $X(t) : [s,T] \to \Omega$ is unique since $u(t)$ becomes regular enough for $t > 0$. However, considering the differential system (3) which has X fixed only at $t = 0$, the issue is the possibility of non-uniqueness just after $t = 0$. We will prove that this can be circumvented and the solution of equations (3) is indeed unique.

We first show in Section 2, the validity of the following log-Lipschitz bound on a vector field u over the domain Ω

$$|u(X) - u(Y)| \leq c\|u\|_{H^2(\Omega)}\,|X-Y|\,(-\log|X-Y|)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

with $|\cdot|$ the Euclidean norm. This using (3) implies that the difference $X(t)-Y(t)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathrm{d}|X(t)-Y(t)|}{\mathrm{d}t} &\leq |u(X,t)-u(Y,t)| \\ &\leq c\|Au(t)\|\,|X(t)-Y(t)|\,(-\log|X(t)-Y(t)|)^{\frac{1}{2}}\end{aligned}$$

and therefore for $\eta(t)=|X(t)-Y(t)|$ we have

$$\eta(t) \leq \exp\left(-\left((\log\frac{1}{\eta(s)})^{1/2} - c\int_s^t \|Au(\tau)\|\,\mathrm{d}\tau\right)^2\right).$$

The uniqueness of the solutions of (3) follows by showing that $\eta(t)\to 0$ for any $t\in[0,T]$ as $s\to 0$ in the above inequality. To do this, we will find a lower bound on $\frac{1}{\eta(s)}$ and an upper bound on $\int_s^t \|Au(\tau)\|\,\mathrm{d}\tau$ as $s\to 0$ and show that $(\log\frac{1}{\eta(s)})^{1/2}$ blows up fast enough (compared to $\int_s^t \|Au(\tau)\|\,\mathrm{d}\tau$) to ensure that $\eta(t)\to 0$ as $s\to 0$. Finding such a bound for $\int_s^t \|Au(\tau)\|\,\mathrm{d}\tau$ is straightforward (Section 4). To find a bound on $\eta(s)$ as $s\to 0$, we note that by the Sobolev embedding theorem

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\eta(s)}{\mathrm{d}s} \leq |u(X,s)-u(Y,s)| \leq 2\|u(s)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq 2\|D^{1+\alpha}u(s)\|. \tag{4}$$

In Section 3 we obtain some estimates on weak solutions of the two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations which provide an appropriate bound on $\|D^{1+\alpha}u\|$ in the above inequality. In fact, we prove that $t\|u\|_{H^{3/2}(\Omega)}$ is bounded when Ω is a periodic domain. Then using an interpolation result it follows that $t^{1/2+\alpha}\|u\|_{H^{1+\alpha}(\Omega)}$ is bounded for any $\alpha\in[0,1/2]$ which, using (4), leads us to an appropriate bound on $\eta(s)$.

In the case of the three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations, it is known that, for $u_0\in H$ and $f\in L^2(0,T;H^{-1}(\Omega))$, at least one weak solution exists. However there is no uniqueness result for these weak solutions. In Section 5 of this paper, we will consider the three-dimensional equations with the minimal Sobolev regularity of initial condition ($u_0\in H^{1/2}(\Omega)$) for which a unique solution is known to exist locally (Fujita and Kato 1964, Sohr 2001). For such a solution, we show the uniqueness of corresponding particle trajectories as long as a unique solution exists, following the same kind of argument as the one used for the two-dimensional case.

2. Log-Lipschitz bound for the velocity field

It is known (Kato 1967, Bahouri and Chemin 1994) that a two-dimensional vector field u satisfies

$$|u(X)-u(Y)| \leq c\|u\|_{H^2(\Omega)}\,|X-Y|\,(-\log|X-Y|)$$

for any $X, Y \in \Omega$. In the following Lemma, assuming that $|X-Y|$ is sufficiently small, we obtain a better log-Lipschitz bound on $|u(X)-u(Y)|$.

Lemma 1. *Let $X, Y \in \Omega$ and $|X-Y| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{e}}$. Then a vector field $u : [0,L]^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfies*

$$|u(X)-u(Y)| \leq c\|u\|_{H^{1+d/2}(\Omega)}\, |X-Y|\, (-\log|X-Y|)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{5}$$

for some constant c depending on L and d.

Proof. Let

$$\hat{u}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{L^d}\int_\Omega u(x)\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{2\pi\mathbf{i}}{L}\mathbf{k}\cdot x}\,\mathrm{d}x$$

with $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be the Fourier coefficient of the vector field, u. Then by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|u(X)-u(Y)| &\leq c\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |\mathrm{e}^{\frac{2\pi}{L}\mathbf{ik}\cdot x} - \mathrm{e}^{\frac{2\pi}{L}\mathbf{ik}\cdot y}|\,|\hat{u}(\mathbf{k})| \\
&\leq c\left(\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}(1+|\mathbf{k}|^{2+d})\,|\hat{u}(\mathbf{k})|^2\right)^{1/2}\left(\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\frac{|\mathrm{e}^{\frac{2\pi}{L}\mathbf{ik}\cdot x} - \mathrm{e}^{\frac{2\pi}{L}\mathbf{ik}\cdot y}|^2}{1+|\mathbf{k}|^{2+d}}\right)^{1/2} \\
&= c\|u\|_{H^{1+d/2}(\Omega)}\left(\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\frac{|\mathrm{e}^{\frac{2\pi}{L}\mathbf{ik}\cdot x} - \mathrm{e}^{\frac{2\pi}{L}\mathbf{ik}\cdot y}|^2}{1+|\mathbf{k}|^{2+d}}\right)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

For any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, by the mean value theorem, $|\mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{i}\xi\cdot x} - \mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{i}\xi\cdot y}| \leq 2|X-Y|\,|\xi|$. Therefore, noting that $|\mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{i}\xi\cdot x} - \mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{i}\xi\cdot y}| \leq 2$, we can conclude that

$$|\mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{i}\xi\cdot x} - \mathrm{e}^{\mathbf{i}\xi\cdot y}| \leq c\,|X-Y|^\alpha|\xi|^\alpha$$

for any $\alpha \in (0,1)$. Using this, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\frac{|\mathrm{e}^{\frac{2\pi}{L}\mathbf{ik}\cdot x} - \mathrm{e}^{\frac{2\pi}{L}\mathbf{ik}\cdot y}|^2}{1+|\mathbf{k}|^{2+d}} &\leq c\,|X-Y|^{2\alpha}\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\frac{\mathbf{k}^{2\alpha}}{1+|\mathbf{k}|^{2+d}} \\
&\leq c\,|X-Y|^{2\alpha}\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\frac{(1+\mathbf{k}^{2+d})^{\frac{2}{2+d}(\alpha-1)}\mathbf{k}^2}{1+|\mathbf{k}|^{2+d}} \\
&\leq c\,|X-Y|^{2\alpha}\int_0^\infty(1+r^{2+d})^{\frac{2}{2+d}(\alpha-1)}\,r^{1+d}\,\mathrm{d}r \\
&\leq \frac{c}{1-\alpha}\,|X-Y|^{2\alpha}
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$|u(X)-u(Y)| \leq c\frac{|X-Y|^\alpha}{\sqrt{1-\alpha}}\|u\|_{H^{1+d/2}(\Omega)}.$$

Since for $|X-Y| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{e}}}$, $-1 < \frac{1}{2\log|X-Y|} < 0$, we can let $\alpha = \frac{1}{2\log|X-Y|}+1$. Noting that $x^{1/2\log x} = \mathrm{e}^{1/2}$ the result follows. □

The result of the above lemma is also valid for a vector field u in a bounded domain Ω, as long as the boundary of Ω is smooth enough so that u has an extension $E[u]$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $E[u] = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^d - \Omega'$, for $\Omega' \supset \Omega$, $E[u]|_\Omega = u$ and

$$\|E[u]\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C\,\|u\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \tag{6}$$

(see for e.g. Lemma 6.37 in Gilbarg and Trudinger 2001). The same log-Lipschitz bound can then be obtained for u, using the Fourier transform of $E[u]$.

We now let X and Y be two solutions of (3) with the same initial condition $X(0) = Y(0) = a$. Then by (3) and (5), in the case of a two-dimensional domain, $\eta(t) = |X(t) - Y(t)|$ satisfies

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\eta}{\mathrm{d}t} \leq |u(X,t) - u(Y,t)| \leq c\|Au\|\eta\,(-\log\eta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

which after integration with respect to t gives

$$\eta(t) \leq \exp\left(-\left((\log\frac{1}{\eta(s)})^{1/2} - c\int_s^t \|Au(\tau)\|\,\mathrm{d}\tau\right)^2\right). \tag{7}$$

If we could show that $Au \in L^1(0,T;H(\Omega))$, we could easily conclude from (17) that $\eta(t) \to 0$ as $s \to 0$ and the uniqueness of particle trajectories over $[0,T]$ would follow. However, to our knowledge, the best result about regularity of D^2u is due to Lions (1995, theorem 3.9) and states that $D^2u \in \mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}(0,T;L^{2,1}(\Omega))$ where $L^{2,1}(\Omega)$ is the Lorentz space (see Adams 2003, for example) and $a \in \mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}(0,T;X)$ means that $\mu\{\|a(t)\| > \lambda\} \leq \frac{C}{\lambda}$ for any $\lambda > 0$ and some $C \geq 0$, with μ the Lebesgue measure. But this is not sufficient for our purposes, because $D^2u(t,x) = \frac{1}{t}(1,0)^T$ is in $\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}(0,T;L^{2,1}(\Omega))$, since (Bergh and Löfström 1976)

$$\begin{aligned}\|(1,1)^T\|_{L^{2,1}(\Omega)} &= \int_0^\infty t^{1/2}\inf\{\sigma : \mu\{x \in \Omega : 1 > \sigma\} < t\}\,\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t} \\ &= \int_0^{|\Omega|}\sqrt{\frac{1}{t}}\,\mathrm{d}t < \infty,\end{aligned}$$

but obviously it is not in $L^1(0,T;L^2)$. Moreover for such a velocity field, the differential equations (3) have more than one solution, since both $X(t) = 0$ and $X(t) = -1/\log t$ solve $\dot{X} = t^{-1}X^2$ with $X(0) = 0$.

We cannot show the above regularity for Au. Instead we show that $\log(1/\eta(s))$ blows up faster than $\|Au\|^2_{L^1(s,t,H)}$ when $s \to 0$ to show the uniqueness result. Meanwhile, in the next section, we show that $A^{1-\alpha}u \in L^1(0,T;H(\Omega))$ for any $\alpha > 0$. We can then prove that if $D^\epsilon u(0) \in H(\Omega)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$, $Au \in L^1(0,T;H(\Omega))$.

As a last remark on the above log-Lipschitz bound, we note that it is possible to weaken the H^2-norm of $u(t)$, appearing in the coefficient of the right hand side of (5) to $\|u\|_X$ for some $X \supset H^2(\Omega)$, by increasing the power of $(-\log(X-Y))$. However if this power is bigger than one, even with $\|u\|_X \in L^1(0,T)$, the uniqueness of the solutions of $\dot{X} = u(X,t)$ would not follow. The best we can obtain, keeping the power of $(-\log(X-Y))$ at one, is the following

$$|u(X,t) - u(Y,t)| \leq \|u\|_{H_1^{2-}} |X-Y| \, (-\log(X-Y))$$

where

$$\|u\|^2_{H_r^{2-}(\Omega)} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \frac{|\hat{u}(\mathbf{k},t)|^2(1+|\mathbf{k}|^2)^2}{\big(\log(\mathrm{e}+|\mathbf{k}|^2)\big)^r}.$$

However, it seems unlikely that $\|u\|_{H_1^{2-}(\Omega)} \in L^1(0,T)$ for weak solutions of the two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations. In fact, even for the heat equation $v_t - \Delta v = 0$ with $v_0 = v(0) \in L^2(\Omega)$, we can only show that $\|v\|_{H_r^{2-}(\Omega)} \in L^1(0,T)$ for $r > 2$. Indeed, since $|\hat{v}(\mathbf{k},t)| = |\hat{v}_0(\mathbf{k})|\mathrm{e}^{-|\mathbf{k}|^2 t}$, we can write $\|v\|^2_{H_r^{2-}(\Omega)} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} |\hat{v}_0(\mathbf{k})|^2 g_t(|k|^2)$ where

$$g_t(x) = \frac{(1+x)^2\,\mathrm{e}^{-2xt}}{(\log(\mathrm{e}+x))^r}.$$

The maximum of $g_t(x)$ occurs at $x = \frac{c_r}{t} - 1$ with c_r a constant depending on r. Therefore

$$\int_0^T \|v(t)\|_{H_r^{2-}(\Omega)}\,\mathrm{d}t \leq \|v_0\| \int_0^T \frac{c_r/t}{(\log(\mathrm{e}+c_r/t-1))^{r/2}}\,\mathrm{d}t$$

which is finite if $r > 2$. It would be interesting to investigate whether $r > 2$ is indeed optimal and also find an example for which $\|u\|_{H_1^{2-}} \notin L^1(0,T)$.

3. Estimates on the weak solutions in a two-dimensional domain

Here, for a weak solution of the two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations (2) with $u_0 \in H$, we find an upper bound for the blow-up of $\|D^{3/2}u(t)\|$ as $t \to 0$ using the fact that $\int_0^T \|D^{3/2}u(t)\|\,\mathrm{d}s$ is finite.

For proving the particle trajectory uniqueness result of this paper in the two-dimensional case, showing that $u \in L^1(0,T;H^{3/2}(\Omega))$ would be enough. However we prove a more general result in the following lemma and establish that $u \in L^1(0,T;H^{1+\alpha}(\Omega))$ with $\alpha \in (0,1)$ for any weak solution of two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations. We also show that $u \in L^1(0,T;H^2(\Omega))$ if $D^\epsilon u_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$.

Lemma 2. *Let $u \in L^\infty(0,T;H) \cap L^2(0,T;V)$ be a weak solution of the Navier-Stokes equations (2) with $u_0 \in H$ and $f \in L^2(0,T;H)$. Then $u \in L^1(0,T,H^{1+\alpha}(\Omega))$ For any $\alpha \in (0,1)$.*

Proof. Taking the inner product of (2) with $D^{2\alpha}u$ we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}\|D^\alpha u\|^2}{\mathrm{d}t} + \nu\|D^{1+\alpha}u\|^2 \le |b(u,u,D^{2\alpha}u)| + \|f\|\,\|D^{2\alpha}u\|. \tag{8}$$

For the trilinear form $b(u,u,D^{2\alpha}u)$, since

$$b(u,u,v) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2}\int_\Omega u_i\partial_i u_j v_j\,\mathrm{d}x \;\le\; 4\,\|\,|u|\,|Du|\,|v|\,\|_{L^1(\Omega)}$$

we can write

$$\begin{aligned}|b(u,u,D^{2\alpha}u)| &\le c\,\|\,|u|^{1/2}|u|^{1/2}|Du|^{1/2}|Du|^{1/2}|D^{2\alpha}u|^{1/2}|D^{2\alpha}u|^{1/2}\|_{L^1(\Omega)}\\ &\le c\,\|u\|^{1/2}\|Du\|^{1/2}\|\,|u|^{1/2}|Du|^{1/2}|D^{2\alpha}u|^{1/2}|D^{2\alpha}u|^{1/2}\|\\ &\le c\,\|u\|^{1/2}\|Du\|^{1/2}\|u\|^{1/2}_{L^{2/(1-\alpha)}(\Omega)}\|Du\|^{1/2}_{L^{2/(1-\alpha)}(\Omega)}\\ &\qquad\qquad \|D^{2\alpha}u\|^{1/2}_{L^{2/\alpha}(\Omega)}\|D^{2\alpha}u\|^{1/2}_{L^{2/\alpha}(\Omega)},\end{aligned}$$

since $\frac{1-\alpha}{2}+\frac{1-\alpha}{2}+\frac{\alpha}{2}+\frac{\alpha}{2}=1$. By the Sobolev embedding theorem for fractional spaces (Adams 1975), we have $\|u\|_{L^{2/(1-s)}(\Omega)} \le c\|D^s u\|$, and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}|b(u,u,D^{2\alpha}u)| &\le c\,\|u\|^{1/2}\|Du\|^{1/2}\|D^\alpha u\|^{1/2}\|D^{1+\alpha}u\|^{3/2}\\ &\le c_1\,\|Du\|^2\,\|D^\alpha u\|^2 + \frac{\nu}{4}\|D^{1+\alpha}u\|^2\end{aligned}$$

using Young's inequality and the fact that $\|u(t)\|^2 \le c\|u_0\|^2 + c\int_0^T\|f(s)\|^2\,\mathrm{d}s = c_1(u_0,f)$ for almost every $t\in[0,T]$. We also note that since $\alpha<1$,

$$\|f\|\,\|D^{2\alpha}u\| \le c\|f\|^2 + \frac{\nu}{4}\|D^{1+\alpha}u\|^2.$$

Substituting these into (8) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathrm{d}\|D^\alpha u\|^2}{\mathrm{d}t} + \nu\|D^{1+\alpha}u\|^2 &\le c_1\,\|Du\|^2\,\|D^\alpha u\|^2 + c\|f\|^2\\ &\le c_1\,(\|Du\|^2+\|f\|^2)\,(1+\|D^\alpha u\|^2)^{1+\beta},\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

for any $\beta>0$.

We now use the idea behind the method that is used to show the $L^{2/3}(0,T;D(A))$-regularity of the weak solutions of the three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations in Temam (1995) and Foias et al (2001). Dividing both sides of the above inequality by $(1+\|D^\alpha u\|^2)^{1+\beta}>0$ and integrating with respect to t, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{\beta(1+\|D^\alpha u(0)\|^2)^\beta} - \frac{1}{\beta(1+\|D^\alpha u(t)\|^2)^\beta}\\ &\qquad +\nu\int_0^t \frac{\|D^{1+\alpha}u(s)\|^2}{(1+\|D^\alpha u(s)\|^2)^{1+\beta}}\,\mathrm{d}s \le c\int_0^t\|Du\|^2\,\mathrm{d}s + c\int_0^t\|f\|^2\,\mathrm{d}s.\end{aligned}$$

Since we have assumed that $u(0)$ has no more than L^2 regualrity, the first term on the left-hand side is zero. The second term is obviously finite and since $u \in L^2(0,T;V)$ we conclude that

$$\int_0^t \frac{\|D^{1+\alpha}u(s)\|^2}{(1+\|D^{\alpha}u(s)\|^2)^{1+\beta}}\,\mathrm{d}s \leq \frac{k}{\beta} \qquad \text{for} \quad t \in (0,T].$$

with $k = 1 + c\int_0^T \|Du\|^2 + \|f\|^2\,\mathrm{d}t$. Now we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t \|D^{1+\alpha}u\|\,\mathrm{d}s &= \int_0^t \frac{\|D^{1+\alpha}u\|}{(1+\|D^{\alpha}u\|^2)^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}}}\,(1+\|D^{\alpha}u\|^2)^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}}\,\mathrm{d}s\\ &\leq \left(\int_0^t \frac{\|D^{1+\alpha}u\|^2}{(1+\|D^{\alpha}u\|^2)^{1+\beta}}\,\mathrm{d}s\right)^{1/2}\left(\int_0^t (1+\|D^{\alpha}u\|^2)^{1+\beta}\,\mathrm{d}s\right)^{1/2}\\ &\leq \left(\frac{k}{\beta}\right)^{1/2}(c_1)^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}}\left(\int_0^t \|D^{2\alpha}u\|^{1+\beta}\,\mathrm{d}s\right)^{1/2} + \left(\frac{k}{\beta}\right)^{1/2}. \qquad (10)\end{aligned}$$

In the last inequality we have used the properties of real powers of the Stokes operator (Constantin and Foias 1988) to write

$$\|D^{\alpha}u\|^2 = (D^{\alpha}u, D^{\alpha}u) = (u, D^{2\alpha}u) \leq \|u\|\,\|D^{2\alpha}u\|.$$

If $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$, taking $\beta = 1$ in (10) will give the result. If $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$, then we let $\gamma = 2\alpha - 1$ and write $\int_0^t \|D^{2\alpha}u\|^{1+\beta}\,\mathrm{d}s$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t \|D^{1+\gamma}u\|^{1+\beta}\,\mathrm{d}s &= \int_0^t \frac{\|D^{1+\gamma}u\|^{1+\beta}}{(1+\|D^{\gamma}u\|^2)^{\frac{(1+\beta)^2}{2}}}\,(1+\|D^{\gamma}u\|^2)^{\frac{(1+\beta)^2}{2}}\,\mathrm{d}s\\ &\leq \left(\int_0^t \frac{\|D^{1+\gamma}u\|^2}{(1+\|D^{\gamma}u\|^2)^{1+\beta}}\,\mathrm{d}s\right)^{(1+\beta)/2}\\ &\qquad\times\left(\int_0^t (1+\|D^{\gamma}u\|^2)^{\frac{(1+\beta)^2}{1-\beta}}\,\mathrm{d}s\right)^{(1-\beta)/2}\\ &\leq \left(\frac{k}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}}(c_{u_0,f})^{\frac{(1+\beta)^2}{2}}\left(\int_0^t \|D^{2\gamma}u\|^{1+\frac{\beta(\beta+3)}{1-\beta}}\,\mathrm{d}s\right)^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}} + \left(\frac{k}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

If $\beta \leq \sqrt{5}-2$, $\frac{\beta(\beta+3)}{1-\beta} \leq \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{5}-2}$ and we can write

$$\int_0^t \|D^{1+\gamma}u\|^{1+\beta}\,\mathrm{d}s \leq C_1(\beta,2) + C_1(\beta,2)\left(\int_0^t \|D^{2\gamma}u\|^{1+\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{5}-2}}\,\mathrm{d}s\right)^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}}.$$

The integral in the last term is again in a form similar to the integral term we started with. If $\gamma \leq \frac{1}{2}$, for $\beta = \sqrt{5}-2$ the right-hand side is finite and we get the result. If not and $\gamma > \frac{1}{2}$, we write $2\gamma = 1 + (2\gamma - 1)$ and continue as before. Repeating the same procedure $n-1$ times we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t \|D^{1+\gamma}u\|^{1+\beta}\,\mathrm{d}s &\leq C_1(\beta,n)\\ &+C_1(\beta,n)\left(\int_0^t \|D^{1+(2^{n-1}\gamma-(2^{n-1}-1))}u\|^{1+\frac{\beta}{(\sqrt{5}-2)^{n-1}}}\,\mathrm{d}s\right)^{1/2^{n-1}} \quad (11)\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t \|D^{1+\alpha}u\|\,\mathrm{d}s &\leq C(\beta,n)\\ &+C(\beta,n)\left(\int_0^t \|D^{1+(2^{n}\alpha-(2^{n}-1))}u\|^{1+\frac{\beta}{(\sqrt{5}-2)^{n-1}}}\,\mathrm{d}s\right)^{1/2^{n}}.\end{aligned}$$

where $C(\beta,n)$ and $C_1(\beta,n)$ are constants with values depending on β and n. For any $\alpha \in (0,1)$ there exists an N such that $\alpha < \frac{2^N-1}{2^N}$ for which the exponent of the weak derivative in the right-hand side of the above equation is less than 1. Since β can be chosen arbitrarily small, we set $\beta = (\sqrt{5}-2)^{N-1}$. Noting that for a finite N, $C(\beta,N) < \infty$, we conclude that

$$\int_0^t \|D^{1+\alpha}u\|\,\mathrm{d}s \leq C(\beta,N) + C(\beta,N)\left(\int_0^t \|Du\|^2\,\mathrm{d}s\right)^{1/2^N} < \infty.$$

□

Another estimate for two-dimensional weak solutions follows from the above lemma:

Corollary 1. *Let $u \in L^\infty(0,T;H) \cap L^2(0,T;V)$ be a weak solution of the Navier-Stokes equations (2) with $u_0 \in H$ and $f \in L^2(0,T;H)$. Then for any $\gamma \in [1/2,1)$,*

$$D^{1+\gamma}u \in L^{1+\beta}(0,T;L^2(\Omega))$$

where $\beta \leq (\sqrt{5}-2)^{\frac{1}{\ln 2}\ln\frac{1}{1-\gamma}}$.

Proof. Since for any $\gamma \in (0,1)$ there exists an n such that $\gamma \leq \frac{2^{n-1}-1}{2^{n-1}}$, the result follows from (11) if $\beta \leq (\sqrt{5}-2)^{n-1} \leq (\sqrt{5}-2)^{\frac{1}{\ln 2}\ln\frac{1}{1-\gamma}}$. □

This, for example, implies that $D^{3/2}u(t) \in L^{\sqrt{5}-1}(0,T;H)$ when $\gamma = 1/2$.

As mentioned in Section 2, $\|Au\|_{L^1(0,T;H)}$ is bounded if $u_0 \in H^\epsilon(\Omega)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. We use Corollary 1 to prove this:

Corollary 2. *Assume that in the Navier-Stokes equations (2), $D^\epsilon u_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ and $f \in L^2(0,T;H)$. Then $Au \in L^1(0,T;H(\Omega))$.*

Proof. We first show that $D^\epsilon u \in L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega))$. Letting $\alpha = \epsilon$ in (9) we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathrm{d}\|D^\epsilon u\|^2}{\mathrm{d}t} + \nu\|D^{1+\epsilon}u\|^2 &\le c\|Du\|^2\,\|D^\epsilon u\|^2 + c\|f\|^2 \\ &\le c(\|Du\|^2 + \|f\|^2)(1 + \|D^\epsilon u\|^2), \qquad (12)\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\int_{s=0}^{s=t} \frac{\mathrm{d}\|D^\epsilon u(s)\|^2}{1 + \|D^\epsilon u\|^2} \le c\int_0^t \|Du(s)\|^2 + \|f(s)\|^2\,\mathrm{d}s$$

and therefore

$$\|D^\epsilon u(t)\| \le k\,\|D^\epsilon u_0\|, \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [0,T]$$

where $k = c\exp\left(c\int_0^T \|Du\|^2 + \|f\|^2\,\mathrm{d}t\right)$. From this and (12) we conclude that

$$\int_0^T \|D^{1+\epsilon}u(t)\|^2\,\mathrm{d}t \le k\|D^\epsilon u_0\|^2 \int_0^T \|Du\|^2 + \|f\|^2\,\mathrm{d}t.$$

We take the inner product of (2) with Au to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|Du\|^2 + \nu\|Au\|^2 &\le c\|Du\|^2\|Au\| + \|f\|\,\|Au\| \\ &\le c\|Du\|^4 + c\|f\|^2 + \frac{\nu}{2}\|Au\|^2\end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|Du\|^2 + \nu\|Au\|^2 &\le c\|Du\|^4 + c\|f\|^2 \\ &\le c(\|Du\|^2 + \|f\|^2)(1 + \|Du\|^2)^{1+\alpha}\end{aligned}$$

for some $\alpha > 0$. After dividing both sides by $(1+\|Du\|^2)^{1+\alpha}$ and integrating, we obtain

$$\int_0^T \frac{\|Au\|^2}{(1 + \|Du\|^2)^{1+\alpha}} \le \frac{k}{\alpha}.$$

Now we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^T \|Au\|\,\mathrm{d}t &\le \int_0^T \frac{\|Au\|}{(1 + \|Du\|^2)^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}}(1 + \|Du\|^2)^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}\,\mathrm{d}t \\ &\le \left(\int_0^T \frac{\|Au\|^2}{(1 + \|Du\|^2)^{1+\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^T (1 + \|Du\|^2)^{1+\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\le \sqrt{\frac{k}{\alpha}}\left(\int_0^T \|Du\|^{2+2\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\|Du\|^{2+2\alpha} = |(Du, Du)|^{1+\alpha} &= |(D^{2-\epsilon}u, D^{\epsilon}u)|^{1+\alpha}\\ &\leq \|D^{2-\epsilon}u\|^{1+\alpha}\|D^{\epsilon}u\|^{1+\alpha},\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\int_0^T \|Du\|^{2+2\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}t \leq \|D^{\epsilon}u_0\|^{1+\alpha}\int_0^T \|D^{2-\epsilon}u\|^{1+\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}t.$$

By Corollary 1, if we take $\alpha \leq (\sqrt{5}-2)^{\frac{-\ln\epsilon}{\ln 2}}$,

$$\int_0^T \|D^{1+(1-\epsilon)}u\|^{1+\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}t < \infty$$

and the result follows. □

Using the result of Lemma 2 we can now show that $\|D^{3/2}u(t)\| \leq k/t$ for some constant k. We note that the results of Lemma 2 and its corollaries are valid in a bounded no-slip domain as well. For the following result however, we need the domain to be periodic to be able to write $Au = -\Delta u$ when $u \in D(A)$ and obtain an appropriate estimate for the trilinear form.

Lemma 3. *Let $u \in L^{\infty}(0,T;H) \cap L^2(0,T;V)$ be a weak solution of (2) in a periodic domain Ω with $u_0 \in H$ and $f \in L^{\infty}(0,T;H^{1/2}(\Omega))$. Then*

$$t\,\|D^{3/2}u(t)\| \leq k \tag{13}$$

where the constant k depends on $K^2 = \int_0^t(1+\|Du(t)\|^2)\,\mathrm{d}t$.

Proof. We take the inner product of (2) with $A^{3/2}u$ to obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|A^{3/4}u\|^2 + \nu\|A^{5/4}u\|^2 = -b(u,u,A^{3/2}u) + (f, A^{3/2}u). \tag{14}$$

Letting $A^{1/2}u = v$ and noting that for $v \in D(A)$, $Av = -\Delta v$ in a periodic domain, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}b(u,u,A^{3/2}u) = (u\cdot\nabla u, -\Delta v) &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{2}\int_{\Omega} u_i\,\partial_i u_j\,\partial_k\partial_k v_j\,\mathrm{d}x\\ = -\sum_{i,j,k=1}^{2}\int_{\partial\Omega} u_i\,\partial_i u_j\,\partial_k v_j\,\mathrm{d}x &+ \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{2}\int_{\Omega}\partial_k u_i\,\partial_i u_j\,\partial_k v_j\,\mathrm{d}x\\ + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{2}\int_{\Omega} u_i\,\partial_k\partial_i u_j\,\partial_k v_j\,\mathrm{d}x.&\end{aligned}$$

In the above equation the integral over boundary vanishes because of periodic boundary condition. Since for a two dimensional domain Ω, $H^{1/2}(\Omega) \subset L^4(\Omega)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i,j,k=1}^{2} \int_\Omega & \partial_k u_i \, \partial_i u_j \, \partial_k v_j \, \mathrm{d}x \\ & \leq \|Du\| \, \|Du \, D^2 u\| \quad \leq \|Du\| \, \|Du\|_{L^4(\Omega)} \|D^2 u\|_{L^4(\Omega)} \\ & \leq c \, \|Du\| \, \|D^{1+1/2} u\| \, \|D^{2+1/2} u\| \\ & \leq c \, \|Du\|^2 \, \|D^{3/2} u\|^2 + \frac{\nu}{4} \|D^{5/2} u\|^2\end{aligned}$$

where we have used Young's inequality in the last line, and

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i,j,k=1}^{2} \int_\Omega & u_i \, \partial_k \partial_i u_j \, \partial_k v_j \, \mathrm{d}x \\ & \leq \|D^2 u\| \, \|u \, D^2 u\| \leq \|D^2 u\| \, \|u\|_{L^4(\Omega)} \, \|D^2 u\|_{L^4(\Omega)} \\ & \leq c \, \|D^2 u\| \, \|D^{1/2} u\| \, \|D^{5/2} u\|.\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\|D^2 u\|^2 = (Au, Au) = (D^{5/2} u, D^{3/2} u) \leq \|D^{5/2} u\| \, \|D^{3/2} u\|$$

and in a similar way $\|D^{1/2} u\|^2 \leq \|u\| \, \|Du\|$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i,j,k=1}^{2} \int_\Omega & u_i \, \partial_k \partial_i u_j \, \partial_k v_j \, \mathrm{d}x \\ & \leq c \, \|u\|^{1/2} \, \|Du\|^{1/2} \, \|D^{3/2} u\|^{1/2} \, \|D^{5/2} u\|^{3/2} \\ & \leq c \, \|u\|^2 \, \|Du\|^2 \, \|D^{3/2} u\|^2 + \frac{\nu}{4} \|D^{5/2} u\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Going back to (22) and noting that

$$(f, A^{3/2} u) \leq \|D^{1/2} u\| \, \|D^{5/2} u\| \leq c \, F^2 + \frac{\nu}{4} \|D^{5/2} u\|$$

we can now write

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \|A^{3/4} u\|^2 \; \leq \; c \|u\|^2 \, \|Du\|^2 \, \|A^{3/4} u\|^2 + c \, F^2.$$

Since for the weak solutions of the two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations $\sqrt{t} \, \|Du(t)\| \leq K$ (Temam 2001), we conclude that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \|A^{3/4} u\|^2 \; \leq \; c \frac{k_1 + F^2}{t} \, (\|A^{3/4} u\|^2 + 1).$$

Integrating with respect to t, we obtain

$$\log \frac{1 + \|D^{3/2} u(t)\|^2}{1 + \|D^{3/2} u(s)\|^2} \; \leq \; k_2 \, \log \frac{t}{s}$$

where $k_2 = c(k_1 + F^2)$, which implies that

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{k_2}(1 + \|D^{3/2}u(t)\|)^2 \leq \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{k_2}(1 + \|D^{3/2}u(t)\|^2) \leq (1 + \|D^{3/2}u(s)\|^2) \leq (1 + \|D^{3/2}u(s)\|)^2,$$

and therefore

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{k_2/2}(1 + \|D^{3/2}u(t)\|) \leq (1 + \|D^{3/2}u(s)\|).$$

Integrating with respect to s this time, between 0 and t and using Lemma 2, we can write

$$\frac{t}{2 + k_2}(1 + \|D^{3/2}u(t)\|) \leq \int_0^t 1 + \|D^{3/2}u(s)\|\,\mathrm{d}s \leq c\,K^{1/2^{N-1}} + T,$$

and the result follows. □

It follows from the above lemma and the interpolation result for Sobolev spaces (Bergh and Löfström 1976, theorem 6.4.5) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|D^{1+\alpha}u\| &\leq c\,\|Du\|^{1-2\alpha}\|u\|^{2\alpha}\\ &\leq c\,\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\Big)^{1-2\alpha}\Big(\frac{1}{t}\Big)^{2\alpha} = c\,\frac{1}{t^{\alpha+1/2}}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $t^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha}u \in H^{1+\alpha}(\Omega)$ for $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$.

4. Uniqueness of the particle trajectories in a two-dimensional periodic domain

Now we can prove the main result of this paper:

Theorem 1. *Let $u \in L^\infty(0,T;H) \cap L^2(0,T;V)$ be a weak solution of the two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations*

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}t} + \nu Au + B(u,u) = f, \quad \textit{with} \quad u(0) = u_0 \tag{15}$$

in a periodic domain Ω with $u_0 \in H$ and $f \in L^\infty(0,T;H^{1/2}(\Omega))$.

Then the ordinary differential system

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}X(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = u(X(t),t), \quad \textit{with} \quad X(0) = a, \tag{16}$$

has a unique solution.

Proof. We denote by $X(t)$ and $Y(t)$ two solutions of (3) with the same initial condition $X(0)=Y(0)=a$. Then using Lemma 1 and following the discussion in Section 2, $\eta(t)=|X(t)-Y(t)|$ satisfies

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\eta}{\mathrm{d}t} \le |u(X,t)-u(Y,t)| \le c\|Au\|\eta\,(-\log\eta)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{with} \quad \eta(0)=0$$

as long as $|X(t)-Y(t)|<\frac{1}{\sqrt{e}}$. Integrating the above inequality with respect to t, we obtain

$$\eta(t) \le \exp\left(-\left((\log\frac{1}{\eta(s)})^{1/2} - c\int_s^t \|Au(\tau)\|\,\mathrm{d}\tau\right)^2\right). \tag{17}$$

We first find an estimate for the integral in the right-hand side of the above inequality. Taking the inner product of (15) with Au we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|Du\|^2+\nu\|Au\|^2 \;\le\; (f,Au) \le \frac{c}{2}\|f\|^2+\frac{\nu}{2}\|Au\|^2$$

and therefore

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|Du\|^2+\nu\|Au\|^2 \le c\|f\|^2 \le c\,\|f\|^2\,(1+\|Du\|^2)$$

Dividing both sides by $1+\|Du(t)\|^2$ and integrating yields

$$\int_s^t \frac{\|Au\|^2}{1+\|Du\|^2}\,\mathrm{d}\tau \le c\log\frac{1+\|Du(s)\|^2}{1+\|Du(t)\|^2}+c.$$

This leads us to

$$\begin{aligned}\int_s^t \|Au\|\,\mathrm{d}\tau &\le \left(\int_s^t \frac{\|Au\|^2}{1+\|Du\|^2}\,\mathrm{d}\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_s^t (1+\|Du\|^2)\,\mathrm{d}\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ &\le c\,K\left(\log\frac{1+\|Du(s)\|^2}{1+\|Du(t)\|^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+c\,K.\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

We need now to obtain a lower bound on the blow-up of $\log\frac{1}{\eta(s)}$ as $s\to 0$. By the Sobolev embedding theorem we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\eta}{\mathrm{d}s} \;\le\; |u(X,s)-u(Y,s)| \;\le\; 2\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \;\le\; c\|D^{1+1/4}u(s)\|.$$

From Lemma 3 we can write

$$\|D^{1+1/4}u(s)\|^2=(D^{3/2}u(s),Du(s)) \;\le\; \|D^{3/2}u(s)\|\,\|Du(s)\| \;\le\; \frac{k}{s^{3/2}}.$$

Therefore

$$\eta'(s) \le \frac{k}{s^{3/4}}$$

which after integrating over $(0,s)$ implies that

$$\eta(s) \le k\,s^{1/4}. \tag{19}$$

Now by (17) and (18) we have

$$\eta(t) \le \exp\left(-(\log \frac{1}{\eta(s)})^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 - c\,K \frac{\left(\log \frac{1+\|Du(s)\|^2}{1+\|Du(t)\|^2}\right)^{1/2}}{(\log \frac{1}{\eta(s)})^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \right).$$

By (19) and since $\sqrt{s}\,\|Du(s)\| \le K$ (Temam 2001) we can write

$$\lim_{s\to 0} \frac{\left(\log \frac{1+\|Du(s)\|^2}{1+\|Du(t)\|^2}\right)^{1/2}}{(\log \frac{1}{\eta(s)})^{\frac{1}{2}}} \le \lim_{s\to 0} \left(\frac{c\log s^{-1}}{\log s^{-1/4}} \right)^{1/2} = 2c$$

which implies that

$$\eta(t) \le \exp\left(-\lim_{s\to 0} (\log \frac{1}{\eta(s)})^{\frac{1}{2}} \,(1 - cK) \right).$$

where the constant c depends only on ν and the domain Ω. There exists a small enough T^* such that

$$K^2 = \int_0^{T^*} (1 + \|Du\|^2)\,\mathrm{d}\tau < 1/c^2$$

and

$$\eta(T^*) \le k{T^*}^{1/4} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{e}}.$$

Noting that $\log(1/\eta(s)) \to \infty$ as $s \to 0$, we conclude that $\eta(t) \to 0$ for $t \in [0, T^*]$. For the two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations (15) with $u(0) \in L^2(\Omega)$, $\|Au\| \in L^1(\epsilon, T)$ for any $\epsilon > 0$ (Temam 2001). Having $\eta(T^*) = 0$ and $\|Au\| \in L^1(T^*, T)$, it follows that $\eta \to 0$ for $t \in [0, T]$ from (17). $\square$

5. Particle trajectories for the three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations

It is known that for the three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}t} + \nu Au + B(u,u) = f, \quad \text{with} \quad u(0) = u_0, \tag{20}$$

with $u_0 \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Omega)$ and forcing function f sufficiently regular, a unique solution $u \in L^\infty(0, T'; D(A^{\frac{1}{4}})) \cap L^2(0, T'; D(A^{\frac{3}{4}}))$ exists for T' depending on $\|D^{\frac{1}{2}}u_0\|$ and $\|f\|_{L^2(0,T;H)}$ (Fujita and Kato 1964). In this section, for such a solution u, we show the uniqueness of the solution of the differential system

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}X(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = u(X(t), t), \quad \text{with} \quad X(0) = a, \tag{21}$$

following an approach similar to the one used in the two dimensional case, when u was a weak solution. We note that the existence of at least one continuous solution of the differential system (21) is known (Foias et al 1985).

We consider $X(t)$ and $Y(t)$ two solutions of (21) and let $\eta(t) = |X - Y|$. From Lemma 1 we have

$$\eta(t) \leq \exp\left(- \left((\log \frac{1}{\eta(s)})^{1/2} - c \int_s^t \|u(\tau)\|_{H^{\frac{5}{2}}(\Omega)} \,\mathrm{d}\tau \right)^2 \right),$$

for $s < t \leq T'$ and as long as $|X - Y| \leq \mathrm{e}^{-1/2}$. Here also, to our knowledge, the $L^1(0, T'; D(A^{\frac{5}{4}}))$-regularity of a strong solution of equations (20), with u_0 only in $D(A^{\frac{1}{4}})$, cannot be shown. Therefore, we find an estimate for $\eta(s)$ as $s \to 0$ and compare it with the blow-up of $\|u\|_{L^1(s,T';H^{5/2})}$ when $s \to 0$. Since $\eta(s) \leq 2\|u(s)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq c\|D^{3/2+\alpha}u(s)\|$ for some $\alpha > 0$, and when $0 < \alpha \leq 1/2$, $\|D^{3/2+\alpha}u(s)\|$ can be bounded in terms of $\|D^{3/2}u(s)\|$ and $\|Au(s)\|$, we first find an estimate for these last two:

Lemma 4. *Let $u \in L^\infty(0, T'; D(A^{\frac{1}{4}})) \cap L^2(0, T'; D(A^{\frac{3}{4}}))$ be a solution of the Navier-Stokes equations (20) with $u_0 \in H^{1/2}(\Omega)$ and $f \in L^\infty(0, T'; V)$ over a three-dimensional periodic domain Ω.*
Then ***i)*** *$u \in L^1(0, T'; H^2)$,* ***ii)*** *$\sqrt{t}\,\|D^{\frac{3}{2}}u\| \leq c_1$, and* ***iii)*** *$t\,\|Au\| \leq c_2$ for some constants c_1 and c_2.*

Proof. i) We take the inner product of (20) with Au to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}\|Du\|^2}{\mathrm{d}t} + \nu\|Au\|^2 &\leq |b(u, u, Au)| + \|f\|\,\|Au\| \\ &\leq \|Du\|^{\frac{3}{2}}\|Au\|^{\frac{3}{2}} + c\|f\|^2 + \frac{\nu}{4}\|Au\|^2 \\ &\leq c\|Du\|^6 + c\|f\|^2 + \frac{\nu}{2}\|Au\|^2\end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\|Du\|^2}{\mathrm{d}t} + \nu\|Au\|^2 \leq (\|Du\|^2 + \|f\|^2)\,(1 + \|Du\|^2)^2.$$

Dividing the above inequality by $(1 + \|Du\|^2)^2$ and integrating with respect to t, yieds

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{1 + \|Du(0)\|^2} - \frac{1}{1 + \|Du(T')\|^2} \\ &\qquad + \nu \int_0^{T'} \frac{\|Au(t)\|^2}{(1 + \|Du(t)\|^2)^2}\,\mathrm{d}t \;\leq\; c\int_0^{T'} (\|Du(t)\|^2 + \|f(t)\|^2)\,\mathrm{d}t.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_0^{T'} \frac{\|Au(t)\|^2}{(1 + \|Du(t)\|^2)^2}\,\mathrm{d}t \leq C.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{T'} \|Du\|^4 \,\mathrm{d}t &\le \int_0^{T'} \|D^{\frac12}u\|^2\,\|D^{\frac32}u\|^2\,\mathrm{d}t\\ &\le \|D^{\frac12}u(t)\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T')}\int_0^{T'} \|D^{\frac32}u\|^2\,\mathrm{d}t < \infty\end{aligned}$$

we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{T'} \|Au\|\,\mathrm{d}t &= \int_0^{T'} \frac{\|Au\|}{1+\|Du\|^2}(1+\|Du\|^2)\,\mathrm{d}t\\ &\le \left(\int_0^{T'} \frac{\|Au\|^2}{(1+\|Du\|^2)^2}\,\mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac12}\left(\int_0^{T'}(1+\|Du\|^2)^2\,\mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac12} < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

ii) We take the inner product of (20) with $A^{\frac32}u$ to obtain

$$\frac12\frac{\mathrm{d}\|A^{\frac34}u\|^2}{\mathrm{d}t} + \nu\|A^{\frac54}u\|^2 \le |b(u,u,A^{\frac32}u)| + \|A^{\frac14}f\|\,\|A^{\frac54}u\|. \tag{22}$$

Since we have assumed the domain to be periodic we can write

$$|b(u,u,A^{\frac32}u)| \le \|\,|u|\,|D^2u|\,|D^2u|\,\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \|\,|Du|\,|Du|\,|D^2u|\,\|_{L^1(\Omega)}.$$

By the Sobolev embedding theorem (Adams 1975) we have $H^s\subset L^{\frac{6}{3-2s}}$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\|u\,D^2u\,D^2u\|_{L^1(\Omega)} &\le \|u\|_{L^6(\Omega)}\|D^2u\|_{L^{\frac{12}{5}}(\Omega)}\|D^2u\|_{L^{\frac{12}{5}}(\Omega)}\\ &\le \|Du\|\,\|D^{\frac54}u\|^2 \le \|Du\|\,\|D^{\frac52}u\|\,\|D^2u\|\\ &\le \|Du\|^{\frac12}\,\|D^{\frac52}u\|^{\frac32}\,\|D^{\frac32}u\|\\ &\le c\|D^{\frac12}u\|^2\,\|D^{\frac32}u\|^4 + \frac{\nu}{3}\|D^{\frac52}u\|^2\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|Du\,Du\,D^2u\|_{L^1(\Omega)} &\le \|Du\|_{L^3(\Omega)}\|Du\|_{L^3(\Omega)}\|D^2u\|_{L^3(\Omega)}\\ &\le \|D^{\frac32}u\|^2\,\|D^{\frac52}u\| \le c\|D^{\frac32}u\|^4 + \frac{\nu}{3}\|D^{\frac52}u\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the inequality (22) can be written as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\|D^{\frac32}u\|^2}{\mathrm{d}t} + \nu\|A^{\frac54}u\|^2 \le c\,(1+\|D^{\frac12}u\|^2+\|D^{\frac12}f\|^2)\,(1+\|D^{\frac32}u\|^2)^2 \tag{23}$$

which after dropping $\nu\|A^{\frac54}u\|^2$ and integration, yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{1+\|D^{\frac32}u(s)\|^2} - \frac{1}{1+\|D^{\frac32}u(t)\|^2}\\ &\quad\le c\int_s^t(1+\|D^{\frac12}u\|^2+\|D^{\frac12}f\|^2)\,\mathrm{d}t \le c(t-s)\end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\frac{1+\|D^{\frac{3}{2}}u(t)\|^2}{1+c(1+\|D^{\frac{3}{2}}u(t)\|^2)\,(t-s)} \;\le\; 1+\|D^{\frac{3}{2}}u(s)\|^2.$$

Integrating the above inequality with respect to s we obtain

$$\log\left(c(1+\|D^{\frac{3}{2}}u(t)\|^2)\,t+1\right) \;\le\; \int_0^t (1+\|D^{\frac{3}{2}}u(s)\|^2)\,\mathrm{d}s \;<\; C$$

which implies that $\|D^{\frac{3}{2}}u(t)\| \;\le\; \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{t}}$.

iii) Now we take the inner product of (20) with $\|A^2u\|$ and obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}\|Au\|^2}{\mathrm{d}t}+\nu\|A^{\frac{3}{2}}u\|^2 \le |b(u,u,A^2u)|+\|Df\|\,\|A^{\frac{3}{2}}u\|.$$

Since the domain is periodic we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
|b(u,u,A^2u)| &\le \|Du\,Du\,D^3u\|_{L^1(\Omega)}+\|u\,D^2u\,D^3u\|_{L^1(\Omega)}\\
&\le \|Du\|_{L^6(\Omega)}\,\|Du\|_{L^3(\Omega)}\,\|D^3u\|+\|u\|_{L^6(\Omega)}\,\|D^2u\|_{L^3(\Omega)}\,\|D^3u\|\\
&\le \|D^2u\|\,\|D^{\frac{3}{2}}u\|\,\|D^3u\|+\|Du\|\,\|D^{\frac{5}{2}}u\|\,\|D^3u\|\\
&\le c\|Au\|^2\,\|D^{\frac{3}{2}}u\|^2+\frac{\nu}{2}\|D^3u\|^2+\|D^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\,\|D^{\frac{3}{2}}u\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\,\|D^2u\|\,\|D^{\frac{3}{2}}u\|^{\frac{3}{2}}\\
&\le c\|Au\|^2\,\|D^{\frac{3}{2}}u\|^2+c\|D^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|^2\,\|D^{\frac{3}{2}}u\|^2\,\|Au\|^2+\nu\,\|D^3u\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

and therefore conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d}\|Au\|^2}{\mathrm{d}t} &\le c\left((1+\|D^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|^2)\,\|D^{\frac{3}{2}}u\|^2+\|Df\|^2\right)(1+\|Au\|^2)\\
&\le \frac{c}{t}\,(1+\|Au\|^2)
\end{aligned}$$

since $t\,\|D^{\frac{3}{2}}u\|^2\le c$. Integrating this, yields

$$\log\frac{1+\|Au(t)\|^2}{1+\|Au(s)\|^2}\le c\log\frac{t}{s}$$

and therefore

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{\frac{c}{2}}(1+\|Au(t)\|) \;\le\; 1+\|Au(s)\|.$$

We integrate the above inequality with respect to s to obtain

$$t\,(1+\|Au(t)\|) \;\le\; c\int_0^t (1+\|Au(s)\|)\,\mathrm{d}s < c_2$$

which implies that $\|Au(t)\| \;\le\; \frac{c_2}{t}$. $\square$

Now using the results of Lemma 1 and 4 we can prove the uniqueness of the particle trajectories:

Theorem 2. *Let* $u \in L^\infty(0,T';H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0,T';H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega))$ *be a strong solution of the three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations*

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}t} + \nu Au + B(u,u) = f, \quad \text{with} \quad u(0) = u_0 \tag{24}$$

in a periodic domain Ω *with* $u_0 \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Omega)$ *and* $f \in L^\infty(0,T';V)$.

Then the ordinary differential system

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}X(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = u(X(t),t), \qquad \text{with} \quad X(0) = a, \tag{25}$$

has a unique solution.

Proof. We divide the inequality (23), obtained in part (ii) of Lemma 4, by $1 + \|D^{\frac{3}{2}}u\|^2$ and then integrate it with respect to t and obtain

$$\int_s^t \frac{\|A^{\frac{5}{4}}u\|^2}{1+\|D^{\frac{3}{2}}u\|^2}\,\mathrm{d}\tau \le c\log\frac{1+\|D^{\frac{3}{2}}u(s)\|^2}{1+\|D^{\frac{3}{2}}u(t)\|^2} + cM^2$$

where $M^2 = \int_0^{T'}(1+\|D^{\frac{3}{2}}u\|^2)\,\mathrm{d}\tau$. This then implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_s^t \|A^{\frac{5}{4}}u\|\,\mathrm{d}\tau &\le \left(\int_s^t \frac{\|A^{\frac{5}{4}}u\|^2}{1+\|D^{\frac{3}{2}}u\|^2}\,\mathrm{d}\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_s^t (1+\|D^{\frac{3}{2}}u\|^2)\,\mathrm{d}\tau\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\le c\,M\left(\log\frac{1+\|D^{\frac{3}{2}}u(s)\|^2}{1+\|D^{\frac{3}{2}}u(t)\|^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + c\,M^2. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Now letting $v = A^{\frac{1}{4}}u$, from above and Lemma 1 and 4 we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\mathrm{d}\eta}{\mathrm{d}s} \le c\|Av\|\,\eta\,(-\log\eta)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ &t^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha}v \in H^{1+\alpha}(\Omega) \quad \text{for } \alpha \in [0,\frac{1}{2}]\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_s^t \|Av\|\,\mathrm{d}\tau \le c\,M\left(\log\frac{1+\|Dv(s)\|^2}{1+\|Dv(t)\|^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + c\,M^2.$$

Therefore following the same approach as that of the proof of theorem 1, we conclude the result. □

We note that, when $u \in L^\infty(0,T;D(A^{1/4})) \cap L^2(0,T;D(A^{3/4}))$ is a solution of the three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations (20) with $u_0 \in D(A^{\frac{1}{4}})$ and $f \in L^2(0,T;H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Omega))$, it can be shown that $u \in L^1(0,T';H^{\frac{3}{2}+\alpha}(\Omega))$ for $\alpha < 1$, using a similar approach to that of Lemma 2.

6. Conclusion

We have shown the uniqueness of the particle trajectories corresponding to a weak solution of the two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations over a periodic domain with square integrable initial condition. We also considered the three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations with minimal Sobolev regularity of the initial condition for which the existence of a local unique solution is known. For such solutions we showed the uniqueness of the corresponding fluid particle trajectories using the same kind of argument as that of the weak solutions of the two-dimensional case.

We restricted these uniqueness result to periodic domains, because in the absence of boundaries the Leray projector commutes with the Laplacian and we could obtain appropriate estimates for the trilinear form which we needed in Lemma 3 to show that $t\|u(t)\|_{H^{3/2}} \leq c$ for two-dimensional weak solutions and in Lemma 4 to prove that $t\|Au(t)\|$ is bounded, for the local strong solutions of the three-dimensional equations. It would be interesting to know if these result can be extended to the more practical case of bounded no-slip domains. However, we note that the estimates of Lemma 2 and Corollary 1 and 2 are valid in a bounded domain as well.

Two further generalizations regarding the estimates for the weak solutions of the two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations, seem interesting to investigate. We proved in Lemma 3 that $t\|A^{3/4}u\| \leq c$ for some finite constant c. It might be possible to show that $t\|Au\|$ is bounded since by Lemma 2, $\|Au\| \in L^{\alpha}(0,T)$ for any $\alpha < 1$. Moreover, in Section 2 we defined the H_r^{2-}-norm as a slightly weaker norm than the H^2-norm and showed that $\|v\|_{H_r^{2-}} \in L^1(0,T)$ for v the solution of the two-dimensional heat equation in a periodic domain, and when $r > 2$. It would be interesting to see if for u, a weak solution of the two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations, $\|u\|_{H_r^{2-}} \in L^1(0,T)$ for some $r > 0$. If this is true, it would improve the estimate of Lemma 2 in the case of periodic domains.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Igor Kukavica, José Rodrigo and Maria Schonbek for helpful discussions. M.D. is supported by Warwick Postgraduate Research Fellowship. J.C.R. is a Royal Society University Research Fellow and would like to thank the society for all their support.

References

ADAMS, R.A., *Sobolev Spaces.* Academic Press, New York, 1975

ADAMS, R.A., FOURNIER, J.J.F., *Sobolev Spaces, Second Edition.* Academic Press, 2003

BAHOURI, H. & CHEMIN, J.-Y., Equations de transport relatives á des champs de vecteurs non-lipschitziens et mécanique des fluides. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **127**, 159–181 (1994)

BERGH, J. & LÖFSTRÖM, J., *Interpolation Spaces, An Introduction.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976

CODDINGTON, E.A. & LEVINSON, N., *Theory of ordinary differential equations.* McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York-Toronto-London, 1955

Constantin, P. & Foias, C., *Navier-Stokes equations.* University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1988

Desjardins, B., Regularity results for two-dimentional flows of multiphase viscous fluids. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **137**, 135–158 (1997)

Diperna, R.J. & Lions, P.-L., Ordinary differential equations, transport theory and Sobolev spaces. *Invent. Math.* **98**, 511–547 (1989)

Foias, C., Guillope, C. & Temam, R.: Lagrangian representation of a flow. *Journal of Differential Equations* **57**, 440–449 (1985)

Foias, C., Manley, O. & Rosa, R.: *Navier-Stokes Equations and Turbulence.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995

Fujita, H. & Kato, T: On the Navier-Stokes initial value problem I. *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* **16**, 269–315 (1964)

Gilbarg D. & Trudinger, N.S., *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001

Kato, T., On classical solutions of the two-dimensional non-stationary Euler equation. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **25**, 188–200 (1967)

Ladyzhenskaya, O.A., *The Mathematical Theory of viscous incompressible flow.* Gordon and Breach, New York, 1969

Leray, J., Sur le mouvement d'un liquide visqueux emplissant l'espace. *Acta Math.* **63** 193–248 (1934)

Lions, P.-L., *Mathematical Topics in Fluid Mechanics, Volume 1, Incompressible Models.* Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1996

Robinson, J.C., *Infinite-Dimensional Dynamical Systems.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001

Sohr, H., *The Navier-Stokes equations, An Elementary Functional Analytic Approach.* Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2001

Temam, R., *Navier-Stokes Equations and Nonlinear Functional Analysis.* SIAM, Philadelphia, 1995

Temam, R., *Navier-Stokes Equations.* AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2001

Mathematics Institute
University of Warwick
Coventry CV4 7AL
UK.
`m.dashti@warwick.ac.uk`
`j.c.robinson@warwick.ac.uk`